

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,297	07/10/2003		David Brault	84215-302 ADB	1603
23529	7590	08/29/2006	EXAMINER		
ADE & CO		T INC. 5 HENDERSON HIG			
WINNIPEG			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	•				

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/616,297	BRAULT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643

		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
eq	uiren	endment document filed on <u>13 March 2006</u> is considered non-compliant benents of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be see required.	
ſΗ		DLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMED  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	NT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
		<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Re" "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has bee showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	n eliminated. Replacement drawings
		<ul> <li>4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claim.</li> <li>C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identified of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Origina (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	er, and as such, the individual status aim must be indicated after its claim I), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn-currently amended).
		5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance v	vith 37 CFR 1.4):
- OI	r furth	her explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see I	MPEP § 714.
ΓIN	/IE PI	ERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
1.	filed	olicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is an differ allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-fire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	after-final amendment or an amendment final amendment with corrections, the
2.	corr (inc ame	olicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the rection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminal duding a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under endment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), are applied action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction requirecompliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ary amendment, a non-final amendment 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental nd an amendment filed in response to a
	<u>E</u>	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-camendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	ompliant amendment is a non-final
	<u> </u>	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a prel amendment.	
		Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The new claims, claims 24-29, are directed to a method of illuminating. These claims are improper. In the reply received 15 November 2004 Applicants elected invention I which was a green house with pivotal mouniting member. MPEP 706.07(h) states that "Applicants cannot file an RCE to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined as a matter of right (i.e.) applicant cannot switch inventions). See 37 CFR 1.145. Any newly submitted claims that are directed to an invention that is independent and distinct from the invention previously claimed will be withdrawn form consideration and not entered." Claims 24-29 are considered independent and distinct from the elected invention and, hence, are withdrawn. There are no claims that can be examined.

Mary Exominer

An 3-643